

Policy Watch Fact Sheet

The Eau Claire Smokestack



Policy Issue	De-designation of a municipally protected heritage resource
Address	382 2 Avenue SW Click here to view in Google Maps
Community	Eau Claire
Year Constructed	1947
Туре	Industrial
Current Owner	Harvard Developments
CHI Watch Risk ^{1.}	Imminent (5 out of 5)
Designation ^{2.}	Municipal: see bylaw. (Jul 13 2008)
City Inventory	Heritage Inventory Eau Claire Smokestack
Significance ^{3.}	City Wide Significance. The brick smokestack in the Eau Claire plaza is the sole remnant of
	the Calgary Transit System garages (1947 - 1988)
	Symbolizes Eau Claire's skyline & development history, beginning with the Eau Claire and
	Bow River Lumber Company office, and before its development as a shopping and entertainment district in the 1990s
	Original bricks custom made off-site with precise curvatures
	Original location is a character defining element which contributed to its significance, meeting a standard for designation
Status	At the September 11, 2017 Council Meeting, the bylaw to de-designate the Eau Claire
Status	smokestack was passed.
	Harvard Developments is redeveloping the Eau Claire Site for office, retail and hotel. The
	City is revamping Eau Claire Plaza. The smokestack will be moved about 10 m onto a road
	, , ,
	allowance to allow for development. The resource will be de-designated then a bylaw to
	re-designate it at its new site will come before Council. Harvard (developer) proposed

	and the City accepted a \$300K contribution for the Heritage Incentive Reserve to fund the City of Calgary Historic Resource Conservation Grant Program, in addition to the cost of moving smokestack. This equates to \$50/sq ft or \$538/sq meter, about double the density bonuses of \$270/sq meter. There may be a marker indicating the original smokestack site (like a floor pattern) in the new development.
CHI's Position	De-designation is being sought by the developers of the Eau Claire site to allow for the
Statement	smokestack to be moved just a few meters to maximize developable space, retail access, and profit. CHI maintains that a work-around design should have been required by the City because of their commitment to the public to protect this resource. De-designation has never been done before. • De-designation degrades the value of designation • Wastes the public investment in research, the designation process, volunteer time and grants provided for restoration (if applicable) • One of the reasons why a resource is deemed worthy of designation is because it is located on its original site. Moving it and re-designating it undermines the integrity of the resource. CHI will not accept a decision in favour of de-designation as precedent setting • CHI wants a guarantee it won't happen again. It's a slippery slope - this is just one of about 80 municipally protected sites -which is the next one at risk? Will this open the door for other developers to move protected historic resources? Only about 10% of the heritage resources listed to date on the City's inventory are protected. • Need a policy on de-designation that can't be overturned/overrun by developers for commercial gain. What disincentives (penalties?) need to be in place to discourage this? • The concept of de-designation MAY be supported but only if in the public good, not for developer commercial gain The negotiated compensation puts a "price" on heritage • Developer paid a discounted price for the property because of the restriction of the designation. The \$300,000 "penalty" negotiated does not reflect the millions of dollars in commercial benefit that this developer will achieve. There is no guarantee that a newly elected Council (municipal election in Oct 2017) will vote in favour of a bylaw to re-designate the smokestack once moved. Despite a City-developer Preservation Agreement that Harvard accept liability for damage during the move, the risks are irreparable breakage and inability to source the unique curved red bricks. What does "protected" (t
	would be a travesty of the public trust".
Upcoming	The move needs to be closely monitored, as does the ongoing structural integrity post move.
Public Call to	Email or call members of City Council, including the mayor, to express your concerns.
Action	http://www.calgary.ca/citycouncil/Pages/Councillors-and-Wards.aspx . Challenge
	municipal candidates about their position on protecting Calgary's heritage resources and consider their responses when voting.
Related articles	September 12, 2017 http://www.calgarysun.com/2017/09/11/eau-claire-
	redevelopment-gets-council-ok
	September 12, 2017 http://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/calgary/eau-claire-
	redevelopment-calgary-council-approval-1.4285080

	April 24, 2017 http://calgaryherald.com/news/local-news/council-approves-de-
	designating-eau-claire-smokestack-as-historic-resource
	April 12, 2017 http://calgaryherald.com/news/local-news/history-on-the-move-eau-
	claire-smokestack-one-step-closer-to-relocation
	April 8, 2017 http://calgaryherald.com/news/local-news/potential-de-designation-of-
	protected-eau-claire-heritage-site-alarms-advocates
	2016 http://calgaryherald.com/news/local-news/little-eau-claire-smokestack-raising-big-
	questions-about-protection-of-historic-buildings
	2015 http://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/calgary/eau-claire-smokestack-relocation-plan-
	worries-heritage-group-1.3066777
Historic photo	Glenbow Museum http://ww2.glenbow.org/search/archivesPhotosSearch.aspx
reference	Image No: PA-4019-1

Updated: September 15, 2017 KP

www.calgaryheritage.org



© Calgary Heritage Initiative Society, 2017

1. CHI Heritage Watch Rating

5 Imminent loss Active plans for demolition

4 High Risk Risk factors + negative intentions / dormant demolition plans

3 At Risk Risk factors

2 Possible Risk Unknown intentions or mix or negative and positive factors

1 Monitoring Positive intentions but still possible risk

- 2. A designated Municipal Historic Resources is legally protected against demolition or inappropriate changes.
- 3. Primary Source: City of Calgary Inventory of Evaluated Historic Resources